

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/759,140	01/20/2004	Kazuaki Someno	Q79470	6970	
23373 75	90 09/27/2005	,	EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			LEE, I	LEE, RIP A	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			1713		

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\nu \sim$
	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(.
		10/759,140	SOMENO ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	·	Rip A. Lee	1713	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	S
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		its is
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o			
Applicati	on Papers			
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the toding on the text of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing (s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		,	
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicationity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	е
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>∆</b> □	(DTO 140)	
2)  Notice 3)  Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>04-22-04.06-16-04</u> .	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa		
S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office			

Art Unit: 1713

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear whether "a rubber component" of claim 1 is the recited styrene-butadiene rubber or some other material. Since claims 2-7 depend from claim 1, they are subsumed under the rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,140

Art Unit: 1713

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayes *et al.* (U.S. 4,713,409).

Hayes *et al.* discloses a vulcanizable rubber composition comprising 100 pw of rubbery polymer (col. 5, lines 19-28), filler and additives such as extender oils. The rubbery polymer is styrene-butadiene copolymer (col. 5, line 26), and a representative example shown in col. 9, line 31 contains an unexceptional, bound styrene content of 23.5 %. Filler and additives are used in the amount of about 5 to about 60 parts by weight (col. 6, lines 10-17). Curing agent is included in the composition (col. 6, lines 1-5), and the overall composition is prepared by mixing in an internal mixer or roll mill (col. 6, line 21).

7. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eswaran et al. (U.S. 5,711,904) in view of Hayes et al. (U.S. 4,713,409).

Eswaran et al. discloses continuous mixing of elatomeric compounds using a co-rotating twin-screw extruder (see figure). The extruder has a plurality of feeding ports. The curative has a separate feeding port, and it closer to the exit port than the other feeding ports. The apparatus may be used for compounding a series of elastomeric compositions comprising 100 pw of a diene based rubber and about 30-110 phr of silica (claim 1), as well as processing aids in the amout of about 1 to about 50 phr of processing oils (col. 7, lines 61-65). The elastomeric component is solution or emulsion polymerized styrene-butadiene copolymer rubber (col. 7, line 5). The reference does not disclose the bound styrene content. Hayes et al. discloses commercially available styrene-butadiene copolymer rubber having a bound styrene content of 23.5 % (col. 9, line 31), and absent any showing of criticality, one of ordinary skill in the art

Application/Control Number: 10/759,140

Art Unit: 1713

would have found it obvious to use this styrene-butadiene rubber because this is a known species

Page 4

of the genus of styrene-butadiene rubber, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have

expected all species of styrene-butadiene rubber to be useful in making rubber compositions of

Eswaran et al. The combination is especially obvious since the process described in Eswaran et

al. is a general one and applicable to a variety of rubber compositions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rip A. Lee whose telephone number is (571)272-1104. The

examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM. If attempts to

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be

reached at (571)272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on the access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

ral

September 19, 2005

DAVID W. WU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNICI COY CENTER 1700